HIGH COUR OF UTTARNCHAL AT- NAINIAL.

Writ Petition No. 448(S/S)2005

Smt. Uma Devi W/o Late Sri Sunil Kumar,
R/o Kanoon Goyan, Kashipur,
District Udham Singh Nagar, ...Petitioner.

Vs.

1. State of Uttaranchal through Secretary,
   Education Department, Dehradun,
2. District Basic Education Officer,
   Udham Singh Nagar .....Respondents.

Sri Vikas Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner,
Leaned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Sri Vikas Pandey, leaned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

By means of this petition, the petitioner has prayed to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to change the place of posting of the petitioner.

Contention of the petitioner is that after completing Special B.T.C. Training, she was given appointment on 15.03.2005 and she was posted as Assistant Teacher in Primary School, Barki Dandi Nawan, Block Sitarganj, District Udham Singh Nagar. The petitioner who is a widow and is residing in Kashipur has to look after her three daughters who are studying at Kashipur in class 12th, 10th & 9th respectively. The present place of her posting is about 120 Kms. away form her residence and is situated 10 Kms. away form the motor head. The petitioner has made representation on 22.03.2005 indicating therein her grievances however, no decision has been taken by the respondents till date on her representation.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has confined her prayer that the respondent no. 2, namely, the District Basic Education Officer, U.S. Nagar may be

directed to decide the representation of the petitioner sympathetically at the earliest.

The prayer is innocuous, as such, in the interest of justice I direct the District Basic Education Officer, Udham Singh Nagar to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 22.03.2005 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. While deciding the representation, the respondent no. 2 shall consider the difficulties of the petitioner, which have been mentioned in her representation.

With these directions, the writ petition is finally disposed of.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

Nainital:
April 21, 2005:
NCM: